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Tax implications of a life insurance policy transfer

Life insurance needs are not static. They evolve over time as family and financial situations change. This is true both for individuals and for the corporations they own. In the case of a life insurance policy transfer, questions often arise as to the tax repercussions of the transaction. What constitutes a disposition? Who is liable for the tax due, if any? Under what circumstances is a tax-free rollover available? What are the tax implications of a transfer between a business corporation and a shareholder or employee?

In certain situations, the transfer of the interest in an existing policy to a new owner may be one solution to consider. Whether during the policyholder’s lifetime or at death, or as part of a transaction involving corporations, the tax implications of a transfer of interest are sometimes difficult to assess.

The transfer of the ownership of a life insurance policy raises both legal and tax concerns. These are questions that often arise in a financial planning context, and the answers, of course, will vary depending on the parties involved in the transaction. Whether or not they are dealing at arm’s length can also affect the outcome of the process. This bulletin will address some of these issues and will include some concrete examples to help illustrate the tax implications of life insurance policy transfers.

Transfer of a life insurance policy

The owner of the policy may choose to transfer his interest in a life insurance policy to another individual. In Quebec, civil law allows the assignment of an insurance contract to a third party provided the assignee has an insurable interest in the life or health of the insured. If an insurable interest does not exist at the time of the transaction, the life insured must consent to the assignment in writing.¹

General rules for life insurance policy transfers

A life insurance policy transfer is a “disposition” within the meaning of subsection 148(9) of the Income Tax Act (ITA). Subsection 148(1) sets out the general rules that apply to the computation of tax in respect of a disposition. A life insurance policy transfer may trigger a policy gain, which is taxable in the hands of the transferor. The policy gain is equal to the proceeds of disposition minus the adjusted cost basis (ACB) of the interest in the life insurance policy. This gain is fully taxable as ordinary income. It should be noted that life insurance policies do not fall into the tax category of capital property, so a taxpayer who disposes of his interest in a life insurance policy does not realize a capital gain; instead, it is a policy gain and he will not be able to claim any losses and the entire gain is taken into income.

¹ Article 2418 of the Civil Code of Quebec.
Specific rules and life insurance policy transfers

Subsection 148(7) ITA contains specific rules that override the general rules discussed above. These rules apply when an interest in a life insurance policy is disposed of by:

- distribution from a corporation;
- gift, either while living or by will;
- transfer by operation of law only; and
- transfer in any manner to any person with whom the transferor is not dealing at arm's length.

The notion of persons not dealing at arm's length is broader than the notion of related persons. Generally, the idea of “not at arm’s length” refers to persons who are connected by blood relationship or marriage, as common-law partners or same-sex partners, or by adoption. Such a relationship may also exist between an individual and a corporation, a trust, or two corporations.\(^2\)

As an example, a corporation and a person who controls the corporation, or a person who is a member of a related group that controls the corporation, are related and are deemed not to deal with each other at arm’s length. Similarly, two corporations controlled by the same person or group of persons are deemed not to deal with each other at arm’s length.

Note that if a shareholder does not control a corporation and does not pay the fair market value (FMV) for the policy, the transfer may be deemed to be a non-arm’s length transaction or a distribution, which would be subject to the application of subsection 148(7).

For transfers taking place after March 21, 2016 in a non-arm’s length context, subsection 148(7) ITA states that the proceeds of disposition to the transferor and the new ACB to the transferee will be equal to the highest of the following amounts:

- the “value” of the interest in the policy at the time of the disposition;
- the FMV of any consideration given for the interest in the policy; and
- the ACB to the policyholder of the interest in the policy immediately prior to disposition.

“Value” is defined in subsection 148(9) ITA as the amount the policyholder would be entitled to receive if the policy were surrendered, which is essentially the cash surrender value of the policy minus any unpaid policy loans.

Lastly, subsection 148(7) ITA does not require that the FMV of the life insurance policy be paid at the time of transfer. A disposition looks instead at the FMV of the consideration paid to acquire the policy when it is transferred. However, the FMV of the policy will have to be taken into consideration for the purpose of benefits under subsection 15(1) ITA, for example, or for valuation of a dividend payable in kind.

\(^2\) Subsections 251(1) and 251(2) ITA; sections 18 and 19.1 of the Taxation Act (TA).
Adjusted cost basis of a life insurance policy

The concept of adjusted cost basis (ACB) is defined in the Income Tax Act. The adjusted cost basis represents the cost of the interest the policyholder has acquired in a life insurance policy. This is the base value from which policy gains will be calculated.

The ACB of a policyholder’s interest in a life insurance policy is calculated according to a complex formula set out in the definition of “adjusted cost basis” in subsection 148(9) ITA. This amount may vary depending on the acquisition date of the policy, the nature of the policy (which could be a life insurance policy or an annuity), and any transactions that have taken place on the policy, such as policy loans or the payment of dividends. It is increased by certain factors, such as the amount of premiums paid, and it is reduced by others, such as the net cost of pure insurance (NCPI). The amount representing the ACB of the policy may not, however, be negative. Information about the ACB amount is usually available through the insurer that issued the policy.

Adjustment to ACB after a life insurance policy transfer

A strict reading of the definition of “adjusted cost basis” in subsection 148(9) ITA indicates that a taxable benefit must be added to the ACB of the policy acquired by a shareholder or employee. Therefore, the ACB of the policy to the transferee would be the cash surrender value (CSV) of the policy plus the value of any taxable benefit included in the transferee’s income.

However, the CRA revised its position and indicated that a strict application of this provision could produce an inappropriate outcome for the transferee, in that the ACB may exceed the FMV of the interest in the policy, and as a result, the calculation of the ACB may need to be reviewed. The CRA has indicated that where subsection 148(7) applies and the transferee is required to include an amount in income as a taxable benefit, only the excess of the FMV of the policy over the CSV will have to be added to the ACB of the policy to the transferee.

Taxable shareholder/ employee benefit

When a life insurance policy is transferred, it is important to look at whether a taxable benefit will be assessed to a shareholder or employee. The CRA states that a taxable benefit may be conferred on a shareholder under the provisions of subsection 15(1) ITA, or on an employee under the provisions of paragraph 6(1)(a) ITA. The taxable benefit must be added to the transferee’s taxable income when the policy’s FMV exceeds the consideration paid by the shareholder or employee to acquire the policy. To prevent a taxable benefit from being conferred, the shareholder or employee would have to pay the corporation an amount equal to the FMV of the life insurance policy.

---

3 Subsection 148(9) ITA – “adjusted cost basis”; sections 976 and 976.1 TA.
4 Subsection 308(1) Income Tax Regulations.
5 Technical interpretation letter no. 9327305.
7 Technical interpretation letter no. 9327305.
Fair market value of a life insurance policy

As we have seen, there are various situations that require determining a life insurance policy's fair market value (FMV). The CRA has indicated that life insurance policies must be valued at their fair market value. We know that this value does not necessarily correspond to the policy's cash surrender value and that it will depend on several factors. CRA Information Circular 89-3, entitled “Policy Statement on Business Equity Valuations,” outlines certain valuation principles. According to these guidelines, there are a number of factors to be considered in correctly determining the fair market value of a life insurance policy, including:

- the policy's cash surrender value and face value;
- the state of health and insurability of the insured and his/her life expectancy;
- conversion privileges, riders and other provisions;
- replacement value and the type of policy involved.

Valuation of a policy's fair market value should always be carried out by an independent professional.

Taxes payable by policyholder on transfer

The insurer is required to indicate on a T4 or T5 slip the taxable amount the initial policyholder (transferor) has to include in income for the taxation year in which the disposition took place. Under tax legislation, when a policyholder disposes of an interest in a life insurance policy in a given taxation year, he must include in his taxable income for that year the taxable portion of the proceeds from the disposition of the policy. The policyholder must include the full amount of the taxable portion on his income tax return.

Transfers between individuals

Under civil law, an insurance policy can be assigned to a third party provided the assignee has an insurable interest in the life or health of the insured. If an insurable interest does not exist at the time of the assignment, the insured must consent in writing.

There are many transactions in which individuals may be involved. A popular but mistaken belief is that a taxable disposition at death can be prevented by appointing a contingent owner on the policy. It is important to remember that transferring ownership to an individual, whether during...

---

8 The CRA has stated that the valuation principles for a life insurance policy as set out in sections 40 and 41 of Information Circular 89-3 apply in all circumstances, and not only with respect to valuation in the context of a corporation.
9 Paragraph 56(1)(j) ITA.
10 Article 2418 of the Civil Code of Quebec.
the policyholder's lifetime or at death, constitutes a disposition by operation of law. The transfer will take place on a tax-free basis only if the subsequent owner qualifies under the terms of the Income Tax Act as someone who is deemed to acquire the policy for an amount equal to its ACB.

Tax-free transfers

Under the Income Tax Act, a life insurance policy can be transferred on a tax-free basis in certain situations. In that context, the transferor is deemed to have disposed of the policy in exchange for proceeds of disposition equal to the policy's ACB, and the transferee is deemed to have acquired the interest in the policy at a cost equal to those proceeds (ACB). The ITA allows tax-free policy transfers in the following situations:

a) **Transfer to a spouse**

Transfer to spouse during policyholder's lifetime

Under subsection 148(8.1) ITA, a tax-free policy transfer is allowed when a policy is transferred during the policyholder's lifetime to the policyholder's married spouse or common-law partner, or former spouse or common-law partner when the transfer is in settlement of rights arising out of the marriage or common-law partnership. In order for there to be no tax impact, the policyholder and spouse must be residents of Canada at the time of the transfer.

The policyholder may elect not to take advantage of a tax-free transfer. In that case, subsection 148(7) ITA would apply and the proceeds of disposition would be equal to the highest of the following amounts: the policy's cash surrender value, the FMV of the consideration paid for the policy, and the policy's ACB.

However, even though a transfer to a spouse does not have any immediate tax implications for the transferor, it must be kept in mind that during the policyholder's lifetime, if the spouse-beneficiary accesses the cash surrender value via a policy loan or otherwise, it may cause the attribution rules to be applied to the income generated, unless the person is a former spouse.  

Transfer to spouse at policyholder's death

Under subsection 148(8.2) ITA, a policy transfer at the policyholder's death to the policyholder's married spouse or common-law partner is tax-free.

In order for there to be no tax impact, the policyholder and spouse must be residents of Canada at the time of the transfer. There are certain situations that call for special attention. Firstly, common-law spouses who qualify as such under the Income Tax Act cannot be each other's heirs unless this is specifically provided for in a will or by means of a beneficiary designation in a life insurance policy.

---

11 Subsection 248(8) ITA; sections 7.1 and 7.2 TA.
12 Subsection 74.1(1) ITA; section 462.1 TA.
The estate liquidator may elect not to take advantage of a tax-free transfer. In that case, subsection 148(7) ITA would apply and the proceeds of disposition would be equal to the highest of the following amounts: the policy’s cash surrender value, the FMV of the consideration paid for the policy, and the policy’s ACB.

Lastly, it should be noted that the right of survivorship that applies to joint owners of an asset under common law does not exist in the Civil Code of Quebec. Consequently, in Quebec, the proportion of the interest in a life insurance policy held by one of the owners will automatically form part of that person’s estate, even if the other owner is the spouse. The spouses therefore have to appoint each other as the contingent owner of their interest in the policy, or ensure that there is a provision in their wills to address this situation.

b) **Transfer to a child**

Subsection 148(8) ITA allows for a tax-free rollover to a child when the following two conditions are met:

1. the policy is transferred to the policyholder’s child for no consideration, and
2. the life insured is a child of the policyholder or a child of the transferee.

For the purposes of the ITA, the term “child” includes grandchildren, great-grandchildren, the married spouse or common-law partner of a child, a child of the taxpayer’s married spouse or common-law partner, or an adopted child. The child to whom the policy is transferred does not necessarily have to be the person insured under the policy. Thus, a grandparent who owns a policy under which his son is insured may transfer that policy to his grandson with no tax implications.

If the conditions are satisfied, a life insurance policy can be transferred on a tax-free basis. There are no restrictions as to the timing of the transfer.

The policy must be transferred to the child directly. It cannot be transferred to a trust, even if the beneficiary under the trust is a child who would otherwise qualify for a rollover. Also, the CRA has stated that a rollover is not allowed if the policy is transferred to the owner’s child and the owner is the insured under the policy.

At first glance, it would appear that these rollover situations are easily applied. However, subsection 148(8) ITA, which allows tax-free rollovers, does not apply to an insurance policy

---

13 Generally, the right of survivorship is a right where, at death, the interest of the deceased joint owner is transferred to the surviving joint owner(s) by operation of law. For spouses residing outside Quebec, it is important to note that insurance policies should expressly stipulate that the right of survivorship applies.

14 The definition of “child” in this specific case is the definition found in subsection 148(9) ITA, which itself refers to the definition in subsection 70(10) ITA. An extended definition of “child” can be found in subsection 252(1) ITA.

15 There is no Canadian residency requirement, as there is with spousal transfers.

16 Technical interpretation letter no. 9826715.

17 Technical interpretation letter no. 2001-0098185.
transferred from parent to child by means of the policyholder's will. 18 Thus, upon the policyholder's death, the policy will first be transferred to the estate, and then to the child. This situation will result in the disposition of the policy by the deceased policyholder, and any gain realized on the policy will have to be included in the final tax return for the deceased.

The only way around this is to name a contingent owner in the policy who is the insured child or a child of whom the insured is a child. The CRA has confirmed that a rollover would be allowed19 where the insured child is named the contingent owner, as the policy will then not form part of the deceased owner's estate but will instead be transferred directly to the child named as contingent owner. It should be noted that a transfer to a child should not be made unless the child is at least 16 years of age, which is when a child is deemed able to deal with a contract of insurance (age 18 in Quebec).

To summarize:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Owner</th>
<th>Insured</th>
<th>New owner</th>
<th>Rollover</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Parent</td>
<td>Child</td>
<td>Child</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parent</td>
<td>Child</td>
<td>Grandchildren</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grandparent</td>
<td>Grandchildren</td>
<td>Child</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parent</td>
<td>Parent</td>
<td>Child</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grandparent</td>
<td>Grandparent</td>
<td>Grandchildren</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Transfer from a corporation to a shareholder or employee**

Corporations often own insurance policies on the lives of their shareholders and employees. In many cases, it is advantageous for the shareholder or employee to receive the policy after the corporation is sold or wound up, or after employment is terminated. The tax implications, however, will be different depending on whether the parties are dealing at arm's length.

Using the following information, let's look at the impact of various types of life insurance policy transfers.

**Universal life insurance policy**

- Insurance amount: $1,000,000
- Cash surrender value: $150,000
- ACB of policy: $75,000
- FMV of policy: $500,000

---

19 Technical interpretation letter no. 9618075.
Example 1: Transfer from a corporation to a shareholder

A corporation owns a life insurance policy on a shareholder. When the shareholder leaves, the corporation will probably no longer need to have insurance on the shareholder. However, the shareholder may want to keep the policy. The corporation may therefore wish to transfer ownership of the policy to the departing shareholder.

As we have seen, the transfer would be a disposition for tax purposes. Since the transfer is between parties not dealing at arm’s length, subsection 148(7) ITA will apply. Under this subsection, the transaction is subject to the following tax rules: the proceeds of disposition for the corporation will be the highest of the value of the policy (i.e., the cash surrender value), the FMV of the consideration paid by the shareholder to acquire the policy, and the ACB of the policy. The new ACB to the shareholder is deemed to be equal to the proceeds of disposition. Any difference between the FMV of the policy and the amount paid as consideration for the transfer will be considered a taxable benefit to the shareholder.

Let’s look at the potential tax impact of this transaction in various scenarios.

**Scenario 1**: The corporation transfers the life insurance policy to the shareholder for no consideration.  
**Scenario 2**: The corporation transfers the life insurance policy to the shareholder, who pays the corporation consideration equal to the cash surrender value of the policy ($150,000).  
**Scenario 3**: The corporation transfers the life insurance policy to the shareholder, who pays the corporation consideration equal to the fair market value of the policy ($500,000).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Transferee: Corporation Inc.</th>
<th>Scenario 1</th>
<th>Scenario 2</th>
<th>Scenario 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Proceeds from deemed disposition (148(7))</td>
<td>$150,000</td>
<td>$150,000</td>
<td>$500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACB</td>
<td>$75,000</td>
<td>$75,000</td>
<td>$75,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Policy gain</td>
<td>$75,000</td>
<td>$75,000</td>
<td>$425,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Transferee: Shareholder</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Consideration paid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taxable benefit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New ACB</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
In scenarios 1 and 2, the result would be a taxable benefit equal to the amount by which the policy’s FMV exceeds its cash surrender value or the consideration paid, and this would have to be added to the transferee shareholder’s taxable income pursuant to the provisions of subsection 15(1) ITA or paragraph 6(1)(a) ITA. To prevent a taxable benefit from being conferred, the shareholder would have to pay the corporation an amount equal to the FMV of the life insurance policy. The assessment of a taxable benefit can be reduced or eliminated if the life insurance is transferred as a dividend payable in kind to the shareholder. The dividend amount would be equal to the fair market value of the policy and will be taxable at the dividend rate for the shareholder.

In scenarios 1 and 2, the existence of a taxable benefit means there would have to be an adjustment to the policy’s ACB to reflect the amount by which the policy’s FMV exceeds its CSV. This amount would have to be added to the policy’s ACB. The ACB adjustment has been confirmed by various CRA technical interpretations. As a result, the ACB to the transferee would be $500,000.

Example 2: Transfer from an operating company to a holding company

Corporate restructuring or the sale of an operating company will often lead to a change in the ownership structure of any life insurance involved. One common arrangement is for an operating company (OpCo) that is owned by a holding company (HoldCo) to transfer ownership of a life insurance policy to the holding company. In this situation, subsection 148(7) ITA will apply, as the transfer is a non-arm’s length transfer.

As a result, the proceeds of disposition for the operating company will be the highest of the following amounts: the value of the policy (i.e., the cash surrender value), the FMV of the consideration paid by the holding company, and the ACB of the policy. The new ACB to the holding company is deemed to be equal to the proceeds of disposition. Lastly, the difference between the FMV of the policy and the amount paid as consideration for the transfer will be considered a taxable benefit to the transferee (holding company) pursuant to subsection 15(1) ITA.

Let’s look at the potential tax impact of this transaction in various scenarios.

Scenario 1: OpCo transfers the life insurance policy to HoldCo for no consideration.
Scenario 2: OpCo transfers the life insurance policy to HoldCo, which pays OpCo consideration equal to the cash surrender value of the policy ($150,000).
Scenario 3: OpCo transfers the life insurance policy to HoldCo, which pays OpCo consideration equal to the fair market value of the policy ($500,000).
Scenario 4: OpCo declares a dividend payable in kind to HoldCo in an amount equal to the fair market value of the policy ($500,000).

## Transferor: OpCo Inc.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Scenario 1</th>
<th>Scenario 2</th>
<th>Scenario 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Proceeds from deemed disposition ((148(7)))</td>
<td>$150,000</td>
<td>$150,000</td>
<td>$500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACB</td>
<td>$75,000</td>
<td>$75,000</td>
<td>$75,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Policy gain</td>
<td>$75,000</td>
<td>$75,000</td>
<td>$425,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Transferee: HoldCo Inc.

<p>| | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Consideration paid</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$350,000</td>
<td>$500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taxable benefit</td>
<td>$500,000</td>
<td>$150,000</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>New ACB</strong></td>
<td><strong>$500,000</strong></td>
<td><strong>$500,000</strong></td>
<td><strong>$500,000</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Transferor: OpCo Inc.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Scenario 4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Proceeds from deemed disposition ((148(7)))</td>
<td>$150,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACB</td>
<td>$75,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Policy gain</td>
<td>$75,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Transferee: HoldCo Inc.
In scenarios 1 and 2, the taxable benefit that arises for HoldCo cannot be deducted by the transferor corporation, OpCo. A taxable benefit should therefore be avoided if possible. The assessment of a taxable benefit could, however, be reduced or eliminated if the life insurance policy is transferred as a dividend payable in kind. The amount of the dividend would be equal to the FMV of the policy, i.e., $500,000. The dividend could then be treated as a tax-free intercorporate dividend in kind. Subsection 148(7) would still apply to deem the disposition and acquisition to take place at the greatest of the policy value, consideration given and ACB. The CRA has confirmed in two technical interpretations that the shareholder does not give consideration to the corporation in respect of a policy transferred as a dividend in kind.23

The tax treatment applied to dividends in kind is discussed in Interpretation Bulletin IT-67R3, Taxable dividends from corporations resident in Canada. According to this Interpretation Bulletin, the dividend would be equal to the life insurance policy’s FMV even though the proceeds of disposition and the new ACB may be a different amount.

Despite this possibility, it should be noted that subsection 55(2) ITA could apply to recharacterize the intercorporate dividend in kind as a capital gain. The 2015 federal budget expanded the application of subsection 55(2) to a number of common situations involving the payment of a dividend between related companies, including the payment of a dividend in kind in the context of transferring a life insurance policy between two related companies.

To prevent the application of subsection 55(2) ITA and allow the transfer of the policy to the holding company without triggering a capital gain, there must be sufficient safe income (taxed retained earnings) attributable to the class of the operating company’s shares used to pay the dividend in kind. As the provisions of subsection 55(2) ITA are relatively complex, the best course of action is to consult a tax professional before proceeding with this type of transaction.

**Example 3: Transfer between sister corporations**

In a context of a corporate restructuring, it might make sense to transfer a life insurance policy from one sister corporation to another. As the transfer is a non-arm’s length transfer, it will also be subject to the provisions of subsection 148(7) ITA. As in the preceding examples, the proceeds of disposition for the transferor corporation will be the highest of the following amounts: the value of the policy (i.e., the cash surrender value), the FMV of the consideration paid by the transferee corporation, and the ACB of the policy. The new ACB to the transferee is deemed to be equal to the proceeds of disposition.

---

Let’s look at a situation where ABC Inc. owns an insurance policy on the life of a shareholder who is also a shareholder of DEF Inc. Following a restructuring of the business, ABC Inc. wishes to transfer this policy to DEF Inc.

Let’s look at the potential tax impact of this transaction in various scenarios.

**Scenario 1**: ABC Inc. transfers the life insurance policy to DEF Inc. for no consideration.

**Scenario 2**: ABC Inc. transfers the life insurance policy to DEF Inc., which pays ABC Inc. an amount equal to the cash surrender value of the policy ($150,000).

**Scenario 3**: ABC Inc. transfers the life insurance policy to DEF Inc., which pays ABC Inc. an amount equal to the fair market value of the policy ($500,000).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Transferor: ABC Inc.</th>
<th>Situation 1</th>
<th>Situation 2</th>
<th>Situation 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Proceeds from deemed disposition (148(7))</td>
<td>$150,000</td>
<td>$150,000</td>
<td>$500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACB</td>
<td>$75,000</td>
<td>$75,000</td>
<td>$75,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Policy gain</td>
<td>$75,000</td>
<td>$75,000</td>
<td>$425,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Transferee: DEF Inc.</th>
<th>Situation 1</th>
<th>Situation 2</th>
<th>Situation 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Consideration paid</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$150,000</td>
<td>$500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taxable benefit</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New ACB</td>
<td>$150,000</td>
<td>$150,000</td>
<td>$500,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In the above example, the two corporations are not shareholders of one another. As a result, there can be no taxable benefit for the transferee corporation pursuant to subsection 15(1) ITA. However, in the CRA’s view, the transfer between sister corporations may result in a taxable benefit to the shareholder of the transferee corporation. This conclusion stems from comments made by
the CRA, which applied a test for determining whether there was a shareholder benefit under subsection 15(1) ITA. If the transferor corporation is impoverished and the sole shareholder of the transferee corporation is enriched, a taxable benefit could be assessed to the shareholder.

A transfer between sister corporations could also be deemed an indirect payment to the shareholder of the transferee corporation pursuant to subsection 56(2) ITA. If any of these tax provisions apply, the taxable benefit to the shareholder would be equal to the amount by which the FMV of the policy exceeds the consideration paid, if any, by the sister corporation to acquire the policy.

**Example 4: Transfer from a shareholder to a corporation**

For estate planning purposes, or as a result of corporate restructuring, a shareholder may wish to transfer his life insurance policy to a corporation that he controls. In such a case, the shareholder and his corporation would not be dealing at arm’s length, meaning that subsection 148(7) ITA will apply.

Under this subsection, both the proceeds of disposition to the transferor and the ACB to the transferee are deemed to be the highest of:

- the “value” (i.e., cash surrender value or, if there is no CSV, a nil value);
- the fair market value (FMV) of the consideration paid for the transfer;
- the adjusted cost basis (ACB) immediately prior to the transfer.

Let’s look at the potential tax impact of this transaction in various scenarios.

**Scenario 1:** The shareholder transfers the life insurance policy to the corporation for no consideration.

**Scenario 2:** The shareholder transfers the life insurance policy to the corporation, which pays the shareholder an amount equal to the cash surrender value of the policy ($150,000).

**Scenario 3:** The shareholder transfers the life insurance policy to the corporation, which pays the shareholder an amount equal to the fair market value of the policy ($500,000).

---

Notwithstanding the March 2016 federal budget, which significantly reduced the tax advantages of this type of transaction, transferring a life insurance policy from a shareholder to a corporation may still be a good idea. For example, it may be advantageous from a tax perspective to pay the premiums on the policy with corporate dollars, which are generally taxed at a lower rate.

Shareholders interested in this type of strategy would be well advised to accept consideration that is at least equal to the higher of the cash surrender value and the ACB of the policy, as applicable. The shareholder in our example should therefore receive consideration in the amount of $150,000, as that value will have no additional impact on the policy gain indicated. As a result of this transaction, the new ACB to the transferee corporation will be the highest of the following amounts: the value of the policy (i.e., the cash surrender value), the FMV of the consideration paid by the transferee corporation, and the ACB of the policy.

Before transferring a policy to a corporation under their control, shareholders should also give some thought to the implications of the transaction. Factors to consider with this type of transfer include:

- The risk in terms of exposure to the creditors of the corporation, if any.
- If the life insurance policy has a substantial cash surrender value, this could impact the eligibility of the corporation's shares for the capital gains deduction to the shareholder.
- Care must be taken to avoid triggering an undesirable taxable benefit. To that end, the corporation should be designated as the beneficiary of the policy.
- The risk of double taxation at death if the policy has a substantial cash surrender value.
Impact of policy transfer and personal trust

A trust can purchase a life insurance policy where permitted by the trust language. Upon the death of the insured person, the death benefit will be paid to the trust as beneficiary of the policy. It can then be distributed in accordance with the trust language. A life insurance policy can be held in a trust for more than 21 years, as the 21-year deemed disposition rule applies only to the capital property of a trust.

a) Policy transfer to a personal trust

Section 73 and subsection 104(2) ITA allow the rollover of property to a trust. A life insurance policy, however, cannot be transferred under these provisions, as they apply only in respect of capital property.

Similarly, the rules allowing a tax-free transfer of a life insurance policy to a spouse do not apply to allow a tax-free transfer of a life insurance policy to a spousal trust.25

In this context, a policy transfer to the trust is subject to the application of subsections 148(1) and 148(7) ITA.

b) Policy transfer from a personal trust to the beneficiary

Like any other trust property, an interest in a life insurance policy can be transferred with no tax impact via a rollover to the beneficiaries of the trust capital where the conditions of subsection 107(2) ITA are satisfied.26 According to the CRA, this subsection takes precedence over subsection 148(7) ITA, as it is more specific.27 In this context, the term “capital” is to be understood with reference to the trust language and does not mean “capital property” as defined in the legislation.

Impact of the transfer of an interest in a life insurance policy in the context of amalgamation

Where two or more corporations are amalgamating and the provisions of section 87 ITA apply, the new corporation, in respect of each of the amalgamated corporations, is deemed to be the same corporation. As a result, the tax characteristics of the initial life insurance policy will continue to apply.28 It would thus appear that such a transaction would not constitute a disposition of an interest in a life insurance policy, given the continuation of the two amalgamated corporations.

25 Technical interpretation 9826715
26 Technical interpretation 2011-0391781E5
27 Technical interpretation 9908430
Impact of the transfer of an interest in a life insurance policy in the context of winding up a corporation

In a case where a corporation is being wound up and a subsidiary is being absorbed by the parent corporation, the parent corporation, in respect of each subsidiary, is deemed to be the same corporation and a continuation of it, pursuant to subsection 88(1) ITA. Therefore, if the applicable rules are followed, the initial life insurance policy could be transferred tax-free and vested rights would be protected for the policies owned by the subsidiary that are transferred to the parent corporation at the time of the winding-up.29

Policy transfer by a non-resident

When a non-resident disposes of an interest in a “life insurance policy in Canada”, any gain arising from the disposition is taxed as taxable income earned in Canada by a non-resident, pursuant to subparagraph 115(1)(a)(vi) ITA. This subparagraph refers to an amount that would have been required to be included in respect of a life insurance policy in Canada by virtue of subsection 148(1) or 148(1.1) ITA.

Conclusion

The tax implications of transactions performed on life insurance policies are often difficult to assess. Consequently, some situations will require you to seek the advice of actuarial and taxation specialists to ensure that the client is not leaving themselves open to an unexpected tax assessment. When in doubt, it is always best to have the transaction validated before proceeding with a transfer.

While we have made every possible effort to ensure that the information presented in this document is accurate and up-to-date, please note that the examples and information provided are for illustrative purposes only. No one should act upon the information presented here without first seeking the professional services of a personal advisor and having a thorough analysis of his/her specific legal or tax situation performed.
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